



# 2024 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RTIP)

Prepared by the Council of San Benito County Governments



November 17, 2023

Tanisha Taylor, Executive Director California Transportation Commission 1120 N Street, MS 52 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: 2024 San Benito County Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)

Dear Ms. Taylor:

The Council of San Benito County Governments (SBtCOG) transmits the enclosed 2024 San Benito County Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) proposal for consideration by the California Transportation Commission. SBtCOG has proposed one priority project for inclusion in the 2024 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

The 2024 RTIP proposes programming STIP funds to Planning, Programming, and Monitoring. Projects included in the 2024 RTIP are based on the San Benito County Regional Transportation Plan.

Should you have any questions about this proposal, please feel free to contact Veronica Lezama, Transportation Planning Manager, at (831) 637-7665 or by email to veronica@sanbenitocog.org.

Sincerely,

Binu Abraham

Binu Abraham
Executive Director

Enclosures: 2024 San Benito County Regional Transportation Improvement Program

CC: Rambabu Bavirisetti, Chief, Caltrans Division of Financial Programming
 Scott Eades, Caltrans District 5 Director
 Brandy Rider, Deputy District Director, Transportation Planning, Local Assistance and

**Environmental Stewardship** 

## 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program

**Council of San Benito County Governments** 

#### INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## 2024 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (2024 RTIP)

### **Table of Contents**

	Page N	<u>lumber</u>
	Cover Letter	
Α.	Overview and Schedule	
	Section 1. Executive Summary	1
	Section 2. General Information	1
	Section 3. Background of Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)	2
	Section 4. Completion of Prior RTIP Projects	3
	Section 5. RTIP Outreach and Participation	3
В.	2024 STIP Regional Funding Request	
	Section 6. 2024 STIP Regional Share and Request for Programming	5
	Section 7. Overview of Other Funding Included in Delivery of RTIP Projects	6
	Section 8. Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) Funding/Needs.	6
	Section 9. Multi-Modal Corridors - Projects Planned Within the Corridor	6
	Section 10. Highways to Boulevards Conversion Pilot Program	6
	Section 11. Complete Streets Consideration	6
C.	Relationship of RTIP to RTP/SCS/APS and Benefits of RTIP	
	Section 12. Regional Level Performance Evaluation	8
	Section 13. Regional and Statewide Benefits of RTIP	10
D.	Performance and Effectiveness of RTIP	
	Section 14. Evaluation of the Cost Effectiveness of RTIP	10
	Section 15. Project Specific Evaluation	12
E.	Detailed Project Information	
	Section 16. Overview of Projects Programmed with RIP Funding	13
F.	Appendices	
	Section 17. Project Programming Request (PPR) Forms	14
	Section 18. Board Resolution or Documentation of 2022 RTIP Approval	15
	Section 19. Fact Sheet	16
	Section 20. Documentation on Coordination with Caltrans District (Optional)	n/a
	Section 21. Detailed Project Programming Summary Table (Optional)	n/a
	Section 22. Alternative Delivery Methods (Optional)	n/a
	Section 23. Additional Appendices (Optional)	n/a

#### INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### A. Overview and Schedule

#### **Section 1. Executive Summary**

The San Benito region is scheduled to receive a formula share distribution of \$260,000 total of Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds between Fiscal Years 2024/2025 and 2028/29, according to the 2024 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) identifies the regional deficit from a net advancement of \$11,115,000, as of the 2024 Fund Estimate. The Region proposes to program STIP funds for Planning, Programming, and Monitoring only. The PPM programming request is based on the limitations that identifies the five percent limit for county shares.

#### Section 2. General Information

Regional Agency Name
 Council of San Benito County Governments (SBtCOG)

 Agency website links for Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). (insert links below)

Regional Agency Website Link: <a href="http://www.sanbenitocog.org">http://www.sanbenitocog.org</a>

RTIP document link: http://sanbenitocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/2024-RTIP-

Final-San-Benito-COG.pdf

RTP link: http://www. http://sanbenitocog.org/2022-regional-transportation-plan/

Regional Agency Executive Director/Chief Executive Officer Contact Information

Name Binu Abraham
Title Executive Director

Email babraham@sanbenitocog.org

Telephone 831.637.7665

RTIP Manager Staff Contact Information

Name Veronica Lezama Title Transportation Planning Manager

Telephone 831.637.7665, Ext. 206 Address 330 Tres Pinos Rd. Ste. C-7

City/State Hollister, CA

Zip Code 95023

Email veronica@sanbenitocog.org

#### - California Department of Transportation Headquarter Staff Contact Information

Name Sudha Kodali Title Chief, Division of Financial Programming

Address Department of Transportation. Mail Station 82. P.O. Box 942874

City/State Sacramento, CA

Zip Code 94274

Email sudha.kodali@dot.ca.gov

Telephone 916-216-2630

#### - California Transportation Commission (CTC) Staff Contact Information

Name Kacey Ruggiero Title Assistant Deputy Director

Address 1120 N Street
City/State Sacramento, CA

Zip Code 95814

Email Kacey.Ruggiero@catc.ca.gov

Telephone 916-707-1388

#### Section 3. Background of Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)

#### A. What is the Regional Transportation Improvement Program?

The Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) is a program of highway, local road, transit and active transportation projects that a region plans to fund with State and Federal revenue programmed by the California Transportation Commission in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The RTIP is developed biennially by the regions and is due to the Commission by December 15 of every odd numbered year. The program of projects in the RTIP is a subset of projects in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), a federally mandated master transportation plan which guides a region's transportation investments over a 20 to 25 year period. The RTP is based on all reasonably anticipated funding, including federal, state and local sources. Updated every 4 to 5 years, the RTP is developed through an extensive public participation process in the region and reflects the unique mobility, sustainability, and air quality needs of each region.

#### B. Regional Agency's Historical and Current Approach to developing the RTIP

The Council of San Benito County Governments (SBtCOG) develops its Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) by considering the highest priority of unfunded projects in the San Benito Regional Transportation Plan and the STIP guidelines of the California Transportation Commission (CTC) including the CTCs priorities for programming. Public outreach and priority setting takes place within the context of the San Benito Regional Transportation Plan, and it has long been the policy for SBtCOG to engage in extensive public outreach during the many planning documents that inform the agency. This extensive public outreach results in our ability to retain priorities over time, which is essential in regional mobility. Because SBtCOG's access to funding is limited to Planning, Programming, and Monitoring funding, project vetting takes place with the Overall Work Program development.

#### <u>Section 4. Completion of Prior RTIP Projects (Required per Section 78)</u>

Through the Overall Work Program, San Benito COG has completed Planning, Programming, and Monitoring activities each fiscal year. SBtCOG has primarily planned for regional coordination, public engagement activities, update of the San Benito Regional Transportation Plan, and other Work Elements as identified in the annual Work Program.

Project Name and	Description	Summary of
Location		Improvements/Benefits
Planning, Programming, and Monitoring in San Benito County	The Planning, Programming, and Monitoring project meets the goals, policies, and objectives of the San Benito Regional Transportation Plan.	Funds were used to support SbtCOG staff time in a broad range of activities to support transportation planning, funding, and monitoring. PPM funding becomes a funding source for the Overall Work Program.

#### Section 5. RTIP Outreach and Participation

#### A. RTIP Development and Approval Schedule

Action	Date
CTC adopts Fund Estimate and Guidelines	August 16-17, 2023
Caltrans identifies State Highway Needs	September 15, 2023
Caltrans submits draft ITIP	October 15, 2023
CTC ITIP Hearing, South	November 1, 2023
CTC ITIP Hearing, North	November 8, 2023
Regional Agency adopts 2024 RTIP	November 16, 2023
Regions submit RTIP to CTC	December 15, 2023
Caltrans submits ITIP to CTC	December 15, 2023
CTC STIP Hearing, North	January 25, 2024
CTC STIP Hearing, South	February 1, 2024
CTC publishes staff recommendations	March 1, 2024
CTC Adopts 2024 STIP	March 21-22, 2024

#### B. Community Engagement

The 2024 RTIP was prepared in accordance with SBtCOG's Regional Transportation Plan process. The San Benito Regional Transportation Plan's public outreach efforts consist of early and continuous public involvement opportunities that provide timely information about transportation issues and decision making processes to, affected public agencies, private providers of transportation (including bus operators), users of public transportation, users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities, representatives of people with disabilities, and other interested parties with reasonable opportunities. Because SBtCOG is requesting Planning, Programming, and Monitoring funding, the project of PPM takes place through the Overall Work Program development process. The public meetings traditionally have participation and attendance by the public.

#### C. Consultation with Caltrans District (Required per Section 20)

Caltrans District: 5

Provide narrative on consultation with Caltrans District staff in the text field below as is required per Section 20 of the STIP Guidelines.

Caltrans provides funding oversight of Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) funds.

In addition, COG recognizes Caltrans as a partner in delivering the SR 156 Improvement Project as one of the region's top priority highway projects which will construct five new miles of 4-lane at-grade expressway from The Alameda in San Juan Bautista to Business Route 156 near Hollister. This project is over programmed in STIP capacity for the region and continues to reflect a deficit in the 2024 STIP Fund Estimate.

## 2024 STIP Regional Funding Request

#### Section 6. 2024 STIP Regional Share and Request for Programming

A. 2024 Regional Fund Share Per 2024 STIP Fund Estimate

\$260,000

B. Summary of Requested Programming -

Project Name and Location	Project Description	Requested RIP Amount
Planning, Programming, and	The Planning, Programming,	- 2024-25 \$37,000
Monitoring in San Benito County	and Monitoring project meets	- 2025-26 \$58,000
	the goals, policies, and	- 2026-27 \$58,000
	objectives of the San Benito	- 2027-28 \$57,000
	Regional Transportation Plan.	- 2028-29 \$50,000

#### Section 7. Overview of Other Funding Included With Delivery of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) Projects

Click here to enter text.

	Other Funding						
Proposed 2024 RTIP	Total RTIP	ITIP	STBG/ CMAQ	Fund Source 1	Fund Source 2	Fund Source 3	Total Project Cost
							-
Planning, Programming and Monitoring	\$260,000						\$260,000
							-
							-
							-
							-
							-
							-
							-
							-
Totals	\$260,000						\$260,000

**Notes:** Only programming Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds.

#### Section 8. Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) Funding and Needs

No ITIP funding was requested. Click here to enter text.

#### Section 9. Projects Planned Within Multi-Modal Corridors

Not applicable as PPM funds are for planning purposes.

#### Section 10. Highways to Boulevards Conversion Pilot Program

Not applicable as PPM funds are for planning purposes.

#### 11. Complete Streets Consideration (per Section 26)

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

#### C. Relationship of RTIP to RTP/SCS/APS and Benefits of RTIP

#### Section 12. Regional Level Performance Evaluation (per Section 22A of the guidelines)

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

## A. <u>Regional Level Performance Indicators and Measures (per Appendix B of the STIP Guidelines).</u>

Use the following Table B1 to indicate quantitatively the overall regional level performance of your Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). For regions outside a MPO, a second Table B1(a) may be used as a replacement to Table B1. Table B1(a) is included on the next page.

Table B1 Evaluation – Regional Level Performance Indicators and Measures				
Goal	Indicator/Measure	Current System Performance (Baseline)	Projected System Performance (indicate timeframe)	
Congestion Reduction	Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per capita. Percent of congested VMT (at or below 35 mph) Commute mode share	(20000)	(manage minemany)	
Infrastructure Condition	(travel to work or school)  Percent of distressed state highway lane-miles  Pavement Condition Index (local streets and roads)  Percent of highway bridges by deck area classified in Poor condition  Percent of transit assets that have surpassed the FTA useful life period			
System Reliability	Highway Buffer Index (the extra time cushion that most travelers add to their average travel time when planning trips to ensure ontime arrival)  Accessibility and on-time performance for rail and transit			
Safety	Fatalities and serious injuries per capita Fatalities and serious injuries per VMT			
Economic Vitality	Percent of housing and jobs within 0.5 miles of transit stops with frequent transit service			

	Mean commute travel time	
	(to work or school)	
	Farebox recovery ratio	
Environmental	Change in acres of	
Sustainability	agricultural land	
	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions reduction per	
	capita	

Table B1(a)						
Evaluation	Evaluation – Rural Specific Regional Level Performance Indicators and Measures					
Goal	Indicator/Measure	Current System Performance (Baseline)	Projected System Performance (indicate timeframe)			
Congestion Reduction	Vehicle Miles Traveled per capita, area, by facility ownership, and/or local vs tourist  Peak Volume/Capacity Ratio or		,			
	Thresholds (threshold volumes based on HCM 2010)  Commute mode share (travel to work					
	or school)					
Transit	Total operating cost per revenue mile					
Infrastructure Condition	Distressed lane-miles, total and percent, by jurisdiction  Pavement Condition Index (local					
	streets and roads)					
Safety	Total accident cost per capita and VMT					
Environmental Sustainability	Land Use Efficiency (total developed land in acres per population)					

If STIP Project Fact Sheet (STIP Guidelines Appendix A), and Table B1 or B1(a) are insufficient in indicating how progress towards attaining goals and objectives contained in each RTP is assessed and measured, include the following information:

- · List your performance measures.
- Provide a quantitative and/or qualitative analysis (include baseline measurement and projected program or project impact).
- State the reason(s) why selected performance measure or measures are accurate and useful in measuring performance. Please be specific.
- Identify any and all deficiencies encountered in as much detail as possible

For qualitative explanations, state how progress towards attaining goals and objectives contained in each RTP is assessed and measured.

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

#### Section 13. Regional and Statewide Benefits of RTIP

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

### D. Performance and Effectiveness of RTIP

#### Section 14. Evaluation of Cost Effectiveness of RTIP (Required per Section 22B)

Per Section 22B and Appendix B of the STIP Guidelines, regions shall, if appropriate and to the extent necessary data and tools are available, use the performance measures in Table B2 or B2a below to evaluate cost-effectiveness of projects proposed in the STIP on a regional level.

Table B2 Evaluation – Cost-Effectiveness Indicators and Measures				
Goal	Indicator/Measure (per thousand dollar invested)	Current Level of Performance (Baseline)	Projected Performance Improvement (indicate timeframe)	
Congestion	Reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled	(Buseline)	(marcate timename)	
Reduction	(VMT) per capita			
	Reduce Percent of congested			
	VMT (at or below 35 mph)			
	Change in commute mode share			
	(travel to work or school)			
Infrastructure Condition	Reduce percent of distressed state highway lane-miles			
	Improve Pavement Condition Index (local streets and roads)			
	Reduce percent of highway			
	bridge deck area in Poor			
	Condition			
	Reduce percent of transit assets			
	that have surpassed the FTA			
	useful life period			
System	Reduce Highway Buffer Index			
Reliability	(the time cushion added to the			
	average commute travel times to			
	ensure on-time arrival).			
	Improve accessibility and on-time performance for rail and transit			
Safety	Reduce fatalities and serious			
	injuries per capita			
	Reduce fatalities and serious			
	injuries per VMT			
Economic	Increase percent of housing and			
Vitality	jobs within 0.5 miles of transit			
	stops with frequent transit			
	service  Reduce mean commute travel			
	time (to work or school)			
	Increase farebox recovery ratio			
Environmental	Change in acres of agricultural			
Sustainability	land			
Jacamasinty			1	

CO <sub>2</sub> emissions reduction per	
capita	

Table B2(a) Evaluation – Rural Specific Cost-Effectiveness Indicators and Measures				
Goal	Indicator/Measure	Current System Performance (Baseline)	Projected Performance (indicate timeframe)	
Congestion Reduction	Change in VMT per capita, area, by facility ownership, and/or local vs tourist			
	Change in Peak Volume/Capacity Ratio or Thresholds (threshold volumes based on HCM 2010)			
	Change in Commute mode share (travel to work or school)			
Transit	Change in Total operating cost per revenue mile			
Infrastructure Condition	Change in Distressed lane-miles, total and percent, by jurisdiction			
	Change in Pavement Condition Index (local streets and roads)			
Safety	Change in Total accident cost per capita and VMT			
Environmental Sustainability	Change in Land Use Efficiency (total developed land in acres per population)			

Per Section 22C and Appendix B of the STIP Guidelines, regions may, if appropriate and to the extent necessary data and tools are available, use the benefits or performance improvements in Table B3 below to evaluate the proposed changes to the built environment.

Table B3				
Evaluation – Project Changes or Increased Capacity Benefits				
Project Type Or Mode	Changes to Built Environment	Indicator/Measure	Benefits or Performance Improvement at Project Completion	
State Highway	New general purpose lane-miles			
	New HOV/HOT lane-miles			
	Lane-miles rehabilitated			
	New or upgrade bicycle lane/sidewalk miles			
	Operational improvements			
	New or reconstructed interchanges			
	New or reconstructed bridges			
Transit or	Additional transit service miles			
Intercity Rail	Additional transit vehicles			
	New rail track miles			
	Rail crossing improvements			
	Station improvements			
Local Streets and	New lane-miles			
Roads	Lane-miles rehabilitated			
	New or upgrade bicycle lane/sidewalk miles			
	Operational improvements			
	New or reconstructed bridges			

#### Section 15. Project Specific Evaluation (Required per Section 22D)

Each RTIP shall include a project specific benefit evaluation for each new project proposed that estimates its benefits to the regional system from changes to the built environment, including, but limited to the items listed on page 9 of the STIP Guidelines. A project level evaluation shall be submitted for projects for which construction is proposed if:

- The total amount of existing and proposed STIP for right-of-way and/or construction of the project is \$15 million or greater, or
- The total project cost is \$50 million or greater.

The project level benefit evaluation shall include a Caltrans generated benefit/cost estimate, including life cycle costs for projects proposed in the ITIP. For the RTIP, the regions may choose between the Caltrans estimate and their own estimate (explain why the Caltrans estimate was not used). The project level benefit evaluation must explain how the project is consistent with Executive Order B-30-15 (Climate Change), including a description of any actions taken to protect the state's most vulnerable populations. The evaluation shall be conducted by each region and

by Caltrans before the RTIPs and the ITIP are submitted to the Commission for incorporation into the STIP.

#### E. <u>Detailed Project Information</u>

#### Section 16. Overview of Projects Programmed with RIP Funding

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

#### F. Appendices

**Section 17. Projects Programming Request Forms** (Provide Cover Sheet) – Regional Agencies will add their PPRs in this section for each project included in the RTIP, whether it is a project reprogrammed from the 2022 STIP, or a new project.

**Section 18. Board Resolution or Documentation of 2024 RTIP Approval** (Provide Cover Sheet) – Agencies will add their resolution or meeting minutes.

**Section 19. Fact Sheet (1-2 pages).** (See Section 50). The fact sheet will be posted on the Commission's website and must comply with state and federal web accessibility laws and standards.

Section 20. Documentation on Coordination with Caltrans District (Optional) (With Cover Sheet)

Section 21. Detailed Project Programming Summary Table (Optional) N/A

Section 22. Alternative Delivery Methods (Optional) N/A

Section 23. Additional Appendices (Optional) N/A

Section 17. Project Programming Request (PPR) Forms						

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6060-2024-0001 v0

	/				
Amendment (Existin	ng Project)	☐ NO			Date 11/06/2023 08:43:57
Programs L	.PP-C LPP-	F SCCP	☐ TCEP 🔀 S	TIP Other	
District	EA	Project ID	PPNO	Nominati	ng Agency
05			2043	Council of San Benito	County Governments
County	Route	PM Back	PM Ahead	Co-Nomina	ting Agency
San Benito County					
	·			MPO	Element
				AMBAG	Local Assistance
Pr	oject Manager/Cont	act	Phone	Email /	Address
	Veronica Lezama		831-637-7665	veronica@sa	nbenitocog.org
Project Title		$\vee$		7	
Planning, Programm	ing and Monitoring				

Location (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work)

Through the Overall Work Program, San Benito COG has completed Planning, Programming, and Monitoring activities each fiscal year. SBtCOG has primarily planned for regional coordination, public engagement activities, update of the Regional Transportation Plan, and other Work Elements as identified in the annual Work Program.

Component			Implement	ing Agency	
PA&ED			7.4.		
PS&E					
Right of Way					
Construction	Council of	San Benito County Governm	ents		
Legislative Districts	3				
Assembly:	28	Senate:	17	Congressional:	18
Project Milestone		'		Existing	Proposed
Project Study Repo	ort Approved				
Begin Environment	tal (PA&ED) Phase	;			
Circulate Draft Env	rironmental Docum	ent Document Type			
Draft Project Repo	rt				
End Environmental	l Phase (PA&ED M	lilestone)			
Begin Design (PS&	&E) Phase			Z. \	
End Design Phase	(Ready to List for	Advertisement Milestone)			
Begin Right of Way	y Phase			V-	
End Right of Way F	Phase (Right of Wa	ay Certification Milestone)		~	
Begin Construction	Phase (Contract /	Award Milestone)			
End Construction F	Phase (Constructio	n Contract Acceptance Miles	tone)		O.Y.
Begin Closeout Ph	ase				
End Closeout Phas	se (Closeout Repo	rt)			

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6060-2024-0001 v0

Date 11/06/2023 08:43:57 Purpose and Need NHS Improvements YES NO Roadway Class NA Reversible Lane Analysis YES NO Inc. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions YES NO YES NO **Project Outputs** Total Category Outputs Unit

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

ORAFI

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6060-2024-0001 v0

Date 11/06/2023 08:43:57

**Additional Information** 

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ORAFI

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

ORAFI

PPR ID ePPR-6060-2024-0001 v0

ORAFI

Performance Indicators and Measures							
Measure	Required For	Indicator/Measure	Unit	Build	Future No Build	Change	
07		/				0	

ORAFI

DRAFT

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6060-2024-0001 v0

District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO
05	San Benito County				2043
Project Title					

Planning, Programming and Monitoring

		Exist	ting Total P	roject Cost	(\$1,000s)				
Component	Prior	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)				70,					
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									Council of San Benito County Govern
R/W									D-1
CON									Council of San Benito County Govern
TOTAL									
		Propo	sed Total F	Project Cos	t (\$1,000s)		'		Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	e e								
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		37	58	58	57	50		260	
TOTAL		37	58	58	57	50		260	
Fund #1:	RIP - State	o Cash (Car	mmittad\		_				
		e Casii (Cui	mmuear						Program Code
				ınding (\$1,0	000s)				Program Code
Component	Prior		Existing Fu	anding (\$1,0	000s) 27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Program Code Funding Agency
Component E&P (PA&ED)			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED)			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT)			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W			Existing Fu			28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON		24-25	Existing Fu	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON		24-25	Existing Fu 25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL		24-25	Existing Fu 25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL  E&P (PA&ED)		24-25	Existing Fu 25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL  E&P (PA&ED) PS&E		24-25	Existing Fu 25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL  E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT)		24-25	Existing Fu 25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL  E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)		24-25	Existing Fu 25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	29-30+	Total	Funding Agency  Notes

Sectio	n 18. Board I	Resolution o	or Documer	ntation of 20	022 RTIP <i>A</i>	Approval	
To be i	inserted upo	n COG boa	rd approval	l.			



#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, Monica Gomez, Secretary II for the Council of San Benito County Governments (COG), do hereby swear that the following motion was made at the COG's regular meeting on November 16, 2023, held at the San Benito County Board of Supervisors Chambers, 481 Fourth Street, Hollister, California 95023.

9. Approve the 2024 San Benito County Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP).

#### Motion made to approve Item 9:

Motion: Mia Casey

Second: Dolores Morales

Motion carried:

4/0

Yes:

Casey, Morales, Sotelo, Freels

No:

None

Recused:

None

Abstention:

None

Absent:

Gonzales

Monica Gomez, Secretary

Council of San Benito County Governments

Section 19. Fact Sheet

## 2024 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Fact Sheet<sup>1</sup>

#### **Executive Summary**

An executive summary of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) highlighting the region's top priorities (ref. Section 1 from the 2024 RTIP Template). Summary may include but not limited to: a list of projects with changes and estimated completion dates and a map.

The San Benito region is scheduled to receive a formula share distribution of \$260,000 total of Planning, Programming and Monitoring funds between Fiscal Years 2024/2025 and 2028/29, according to the 2024 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The 2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) identifies the regional deficit from a net advancement of \$11,115,000, as of the 2024 Fund Estimate. The Region proposes to program STIP funds for Planning, Programming, and Monitoring only. The PPM programming request is based on the limitations that identifies the five percent limit for county shares.

#### **Benefits**

A summary of the most significant benefits the proposed RTIP will provide to the region(s), including the safety, environment, equity, and economic benefits to the community (ref. Section 12, 14, 15, and 16 from the 2024 RTIP Template).

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

#### **Goals and Objectives**

A description of how the RTIP is advancing the goals and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan and, where applicable, the Sustainable Communities Strategy (ref. Section 12 from the 2024 RTIP Template) as well as other regional plans, such as Regional Bicycle Plans and the Comprehensive Multimodal Corridor Plans.

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

A description of how the RTIP aligns with the State's goals regarding plans such as the Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI), Caltrans Race and Equity Action Plan (REAP), California Freight Mobility Plan (CFMP), etc.

Not applicable. SBtCOG does not have any projects at this time due to overprogramming on the State Highway System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The fact sheet (one- or two-page) will be posted on the Commission's website and must comply with state and federal web accessibility laws and standards.