AGENDA
REGULAR MEETING
COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS

DATE: Thursday, September 17, 2020
6:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Board of Supervisors Chambers
481 Fourth St., Hollister, CA 95023

DIRECTORS: Chair Ignacio Velazquez, Vice Chair Peter Hernandez,
Jaime De La Cruz, Mary Vazquez Edge, and Rolan Resendiz
Alternates: San Benito County: Mark Medina;
City of San Juan Bautista: César E. Flores
Ex Officio: Caltrans District 5

Attendance at the COG meeting is closed to the public per
Executive Order N-29-20. The public may join meeting by Zoom: https://zoom.us/join per the
instructions provided at the end of the agenda:

Meeting ID: 813-6589-7138

Persons who wish to address the Board of Directors must complete a Speaker Card and give it to the Clerk
prior to addressing the Board. Those who wish to address the Board on an agenda item will be heard when
the Chairperson calls for comments from the audience. Following recognition, persons desiring to speak are
requested to advance to the podium and state their name and address. After hearing audience comments,
the Public Comment portion of the agenda item will be closed. The Opportunity to address the Board of
Directors on items of interest not appearing on the agenda will be provided during Section D. Public
Comment.

6:00 P.M. CALL TO ORDER

A. Pledge of Allegiance

B. Verification of Certificate of Posting

C. NOTICE OF TEMPORARY PROCEDURES FOR COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS BOARD MEETINGS
*(Please see Zoom instructions at the end of the agenda)

Pursuant to California Governor Gavin Newsom’s Executive Order N-29-20 issued on March 17, 2020,
relating to the convening of public meetings in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally,
members of the COG Board are allowed to attend the meeting via teleconference and to participate in
the meeting to the same extent as if they were present.

D. Public Comment (Opportunity to address the Board on items of interest on a subject matter within
the jurisdiction of the Council of Governments and not appearing on the agendas. No action may be
taken unless provided by Govt. Code Sec. 54954.2 Speakers are limited to 3 minutes.)

E. Executive Director’s Report
F. Caltrans Report – Eades

G. Board of Directors’ Reports

CONSENT AGENDA:

(These matters shall be considered as a whole and without discussion unless a particular item is removed from the Consent Agenda. Members of the public who wish to speak on a Consent Agenda item must submit a Speaker Card to the Clerk and wait for recognition from the Chairperson. Approval of a consent item means approval as recommended on the Staff Report.)

1. APPROVE Council of Governments Draft Meeting Minutes Dated August 20, 2020 – Gomez

2. RECEIVE Construction Projects Report – Caltrans District 5

3. ADOPT Resolution 20-07 Approving Projects for Funding and Authorizing the Executive Director to Apply for and Accept FY 2020-21 California State of Good Repair Program Funds Totaling $93,783 – Valentine

4. Amendment No. 1 to Fiscal Year 2020/21 Overall Work Program – Lezama
   a. APPROVE Amendment No. 1 to the Fiscal Year 2020/2021 Overall Work Program to Include New Work Element 306 for the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority Short Range Transit Plan Update; and
   b. AUTHORIZE the COG Executive Director to Sign an Amended FY 2020/2021 Overall Work Program Agreement with the California Department of Transportation.

REGULAR AGENDA:

5. Highway 25 Widening Project – Gilbert
   a. RECEIVE Presentation on the Highway 25 Widening Project Development Planning, and
   b. APPROVE Project Area Priorities, Identified as Alternative 1 in Attachment 1 to this Staff Report, and
   c. DIRECT STAFF to Pursue Necessary Agreements and Initiate Project Approval and Environmental Documents Phase of the Project

Adjourn to COG Meeting on October 15, 2020. Agenda Deadline is Tuesday, September 29, 2020 at 12:00 p.m.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), if requested, the Agenda can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. If an individual wishes to request an alternative agenda format, please contact the Clerk of the Council four (4) days prior to the meeting at (831) 637-7665. The Council of Governments Board of Directors meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Clerk of the Council’s office at (831) 637-7665 at least 48 hours before the meeting to enable the Council of Governments to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility.

ZOOM INSTRUCTIONS:
Members of the public are encouraged to participate in Board meetings in the following ways:
1. Remote Viewing
   Members of the public who wish to watch the meeting can view the meeting online through Zoom. Instructions for participating via Zoom are included below.
2. **Written Comments & Email Public Comment**
Members of the public may submit comments via email by 5:00 PM. on the Wednesday prior to the Board meeting to the Clerk of the Board at monica@sanbenitocog.org. Regardless of whether the matter is on the agenda. Every effort will be made to provide Board Members with your comments before the agenda item is heard.

3. **Council of Governments Board meeting Zoom Instructions for remote Participants:**
Three ways to attend zoom meetings: 1) over the phone, 2) on a web browser, or 3) through the Zoom Smart Device Application. Each meeting will have a meeting ID, which is a unique number associated with an instant or scheduled meeting.

1. **Over the phone (Audio only):**
   - (669) 900-6833 or (408) 638-0968.

2. **On a Web-browser:**
   - [https://zoom.us/join](https://zoom.us/join)

3. **Smart device Application:**

**Zoom Audio Only (phone)**

If you are **calling in as audio-only**, please dial (669) 900-6833 or (408) 638-0968.

1. It will ask you to enter the **Meeting ID**, 813-6589-7138, followed by the “#” key, which can be found at the top page of the agenda. The meeting agenda can be found at: [http://www.sanbenitocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/COG_Packet_091720.pdf](http://www.sanbenitocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/COG_Packet_091720.pdf)

2. It will then ask for a **Participant ID**, press the “#” key to continue.

3. Once you enter the zoom meeting, you will automatically be placed on mute.

4. **Public Comment:** If you are using a phone, please press the “**9” to raise your hand, zoom facilitator will unmute you when your turn arrives.

**Zoom On Web-browser or Zoom app on Tablet or Smartphone**

If joining through web-browser launch: [https://zoom.us/join](https://zoom.us/join) or launch the Zoom app on your Tablet or Smartphone

1. Select **“JOIN A MEETING”**
2. You will be prompted to enter **Meeting ID**, 831-7532-2851 and include a name to join the meeting. The meeting agenda can be found at: [http://www.sanbenitocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/COG_Packet_091720.pdf](http://www.sanbenitocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/COG_Packet_091720.pdf)
3. You can launch audio through your computer or set it up through the phone. Follow instructions provided by Zoom.
4. **Public Comment:** click **“Raise hand”** icon, the zoom facilitator will unmute you when your turn arrives.
Public Comment Guidelines

- If participating on Zoom: Once you are selected, you will hear that you have been unmuted: State your first name, last name, and county you reside in for the record.
- The Council of Governments Board welcomes your comments.
- Each individual speaker will be limited to a presentation total of three (3) minutes.
- Please keep your comments, brief, to the point, and do not repeat prior testimony, so that as many people as possible can be heard. Your cooperation is appreciated.

If you have questions, contact the Council of Governments and leave a message at (831) 637-7665 x. 201, or email monica@sanbenitocog.org.
CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

Pursuant to Government Code Section #54954.2(a) the Meeting Agenda for the Council of San Benito County Governments on September 17, 2020 at 6:00 P.M. was posted at the following locations freely accessible to the public:

The front entrance of the Old San Benito County Courthouse, Monterey Street, Hollister, CA 95023, and the Council of Governments Office, 330 Tres Pinos Rd., Ste. C7, Hollister, CA 95023 at the following date and time:

On the 11th day of September 2020, on or before 6:00 P.M.

The meeting agenda was also posted on the Council of San Benito County Governments website, www.sanbenitocog.org, under Meetings, COG Board, Meeting Schedule

I, Monica Gomez, swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

BY: [Signature]
Monica Gomez, Secretary
Council of San Benito County Governments
SAN BENITO COUNTY  
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS  
REGULAR MEETING  
(Zoom Platform)  

August 20, 2020, 6:00 P.M.  

DRAFT MINUTES  

MEMBERS PRESENT:  
Chair Ignacio Velazquez; Vice-Chair Peter Hernandez; Jaime De La Cruz, and Mary Vazquez Edge; Ex Officio, Scott Eades, Caltrans District 5  

MEMBERS ABSENT:  
Rolan Resendiz  

STAFF PRESENT:  
Deputy County Counsel, Shirley Murphy; Executive Director, Mary Gilbert; Transportation Planner, Regina Valentine, Transportation Planner, Veronica Lezama; Administrative Services Specialist, Kathy Postigo; Secretary, Monica Gomez; Office Assistant, Griselda Arevalo  

OTHERS PRESENT:  
Heather Adamson, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments; Leona Medearis-Peacher, MV Transportation  

CALL TO ORDER:  
Chair Velazquez called the meeting to order at 6:05 P.M.  

A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE  
Director Vazquez Edge led the pledge of allegiance.  

B. CERTIFICATE OF POSTING  
A motion was made by Director Vazquez Edge, and seconded by Director De La Cruz, the Directors acknowledged the Certificate of Posting. Vote: 4/0 motion passes.  

C. NOTICE OF TEMPORARY PROCEDURES FOR COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS BOARD MEETINGS  
Pursuant to California Governor Gavin Newsom’s Executive Order N-29-20 issued on March 17, 2020, relating to the convening of public meetings in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, members of the COG Board are allowed to attend the meeting via teleconference and to participate in the meeting to the same extent as if they were present.  

Chair Velazquez reminded members of the public that an overview of temporary procedures (Zoom etiquette) for COG meetings was attached to the agenda.
D. **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Executive Director Mary Gilbert stated for the record that the COG Board received Joe Thompson’s public comment correspondence. The correspondence was entered into public record.

E. **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT:** Gilbert

Executive Director, Mary Gilbert reported that the no left turn closure at Bolsa Road onto Highway 25 is now in effect. COG has an agreement with the California Highway Patrol to provide additional enforcement in the area. A portion of this is funded through COG’s Call Box Program.

Ms. Gilbert thanked Board members and members of the public for participating in the Special COG meeting last week. Staff will be following up with Board members on scheduling the next Special COG meeting.

Chair Velazquez was disconnected from the meeting at 6:08 p.m. due to technical issues.

Vice Chair Hernandez began chairing the COG meeting.

F. **Caltrans District 5 Report: Scott Eades**

Scott Eades reported that he is the newly appointed Deputy District Director for Transportation Planning and Local Assistance and Sustainability for the State Department of Transportation Caltrans District 5. He mentioned that he was appointed to the position that was previously held by Aileen Loe, who retired at the end of last month. Mr. Eades provided some background information about himself and said that he looked forward to working with San Benito COG.

Mr. Eades announced that Caltrans has recently updated its website with additional SB743 materials as well as a video on SB743 and how it is being implemented. He reported that Caltrans is also working on the California Transportation Plan 2050 Update, additional information will be provided in the future. Also, the Freight Mobility Plan for 2020 was recently released at the statewide level. Lastly, he reported that Caltrans is very busy responding to the many fires statewide. They are working on repairing guardrail and other things that are being damaged on our highways and continue to coordinate with local emergency providers and others as needed.

The COG Directors welcomed Mr. Eades aboard.

G. **Board of Directors’ Reports:** None

**CONSENT AGENDA:**

1. **APPROVE** Council of Governments Draft Meeting Minutes Dated June 18, 2020 – Gomez
2. **RECEIVE** Construction Projects Report – Caltrans District 5
3. **APPROVE** Memorandum of Understanding Between the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, Council of San Benito County Governments, Transportation Agency for Monterey County, San Luis Obispo Council of Governments, and Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission to Ensure the Vitality of the U.S. 101 Corridor Along the Central Coast – Gilbert
4. **APPOINT** Stacy Romo to the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council (SSTAC) – Lezama
5. **Regional Early Action Planning (REAP)** – Lezama
a. ADOPT Resolution No. 2020-04 Adopting a Methodology for Sub allocating $315,813.00 of Regional Early Action Planning (REA) to the City of San Juan Bautista, City of Hollister, County of San Benito, and Council of Governments to Fund Housing Planning Activities.

b. ADOPT Resolution 2020-05 Authorizing Delegation of Authority to COG Executive Director to Authorize Signing MOU’s with AMBAG and Jurisdictional Grant Awardees for Disbursement of Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) Funds.

There was no public comment on the Consent Agenda.

Chair Velazquez rejoined the COG meeting at 6:16 p.m.

_A motion was made by Director De La Cruz, and seconded by Director Vazquez Edge, the Directors approved Consent Agenda Items 1-5. Vote: 4/0 motion passes._

**REGULAR AGENDA**

_6:00 P.M. Public Hearing (Or As Soon Thereafter As the Matter May Be Heard)_

6. **Fiscal Year 2020/2021 Budget** – Postigo
   a. OPEN Public Hearing
   b. RECEIVE Presentation on the FY 2020/21 Council of Governments’ Budgets
   c. ACCEPT Public Testimony
   d. CLOSE Budget Hearing
   e. Final Budget Deliberations
   f. ADOPT Resolution 20-06, Adopting the Council of Governments’ FY 2020/2021 Budget

a. Chair Velazquez opened the public hearing at 6:17 p.m.

b. Administrative Services Specialist Kathy Postigo provided a presentation on the FY 2020/21 Council of Governments’ Budgets.

There was brief discussion about the $5 million in Self Help funds distribution.

Executive Director Mary Gilbert stated that the $5 million Local Partnership Program incentive funds will be allocated to San Benito COG by the California Transportation Commission (CTC). It will be a reimbursable allocation that we have to match dollar for dollar. COG must submit a programming request to the CTC and projects must meet certain criteria. She stated that the Highway 25 project meets the criteria, and potentially other Measure G projects may meet the criteria as well.

There was no further discussion.

c. Public Testimony
   There was no public testimony

d. Close Budget Hearing
   Chair Velazquez closed the public hearing at 6:25 p.m.

e. Final Budget Deliberations
   There were no further deliberations.

f. Adopt Resolution 20-06, Adopting the Council of Governments’ FY 2020/2021 Budget
A motion was made by Director De La Cruz, and seconded by Director Vazquez Edge, the Directors approved Items 6a-f. Vote: 4/0 motion passes.


Transportation Planner Veronica Lezama provided a presentation on the Draft 2020 Unmet Transit Needs report.

Ms. Lezama reported that considering the COVID-19 health epidemic, staff consulted with Caltrans regarding the implementation uncertainty of those unmet needs for the upcoming fiscal year. Staff determined, with Caltrans approval, that at this time any “Unmet Transit Need” typically found “Reasonable to Meet” be found “Not Reasonable to Meet” due to COVID-19’s financial and system-wide impacts in the upcoming fiscal year. Any funds that would have been available for implementation of transit needs will be reserved for the 2021/2022 Fiscal Year with no penalty from Caltrans. Staff will continue to monitor the impact of COVID-19 on transit services over the next year(s).

Ms. Lezama stated that the final Unmet Transit Needs Report will be presented to the COG Board for approval at the September 17, 2020 meeting. The final report is then submitted to Caltrans by the October 2020 extended deadline.

There was discussion about future transportation to the new dialysis center that will be opening within a few months.

Ms. Lezama stated that staff has had preliminary discussions with the center and will coordinate with them to provide transportation to their new location.

There was no public comment or further discussion.

A motion was made by Director Vazquez Edge, and seconded by Director De La Cruz, to Direct staff to postpone review of the “Unmet Transit Needs” found “Not Reasonable to Meet” in FY 2020/2021 to FY 2021/2022. Vote: 4/0 motion passes.

A motion was made by Director De La Cruz, and seconded by Director Vazquez Edge, to adjourn the COG meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 6:33 p.m. Vote: 4/0 motion passes.

ADJOURN TO COG MEETING SEPTEMBER 17, 2020 AT 6:00 P.M.
## CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location/Post Mile (PM)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PROJECTS IN DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location/Post Mile (PM)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Highway 25 Expressway Conversion Project (48540)</td>
<td>Near Hollister and Gilroy in Sbt &amp; SCL Counties (PM Sbt 51.5 to SCL 2.6)</td>
<td>Conversion of 2-lane conventional highway to a 4-lane expressway</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Brandy Rider</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PROJECTS IN DEVELOPMENT (CONTINUED)
## ACROSS Юрії
### ACROSS Юрії
#### ACROSS Юрії
##### ACROSS Юрії
###### ACROSS Юрії

## ACROSS Юрії
### ACROSS Юрії
#### ACROSS Юрії
##### ACROSS Юрії
###### ACROSS Юрії

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location/Post Mile (PM)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Highway 156 Improvement Project</td>
<td>In and near San Juan Bautista, from The Alameda to slightly east of Fourth Street (PM 3.0/R8.2)</td>
<td>Construct four-lane expressway</td>
<td>Summer 2021 - Summer 2023</td>
<td>$57.4 million</td>
<td>STIP/Local</td>
<td>Brandy Rider</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>Project design continues with 95% completion. ROW acquisitions in progress. Utility relocation, design coordination ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ACRONYMS USED IN THIS REPORT:

- PA&ED: Project Approval and Environmental Document
- PS&E: Plans, Specifications, and Estimate
- ROW: Right of way
- SB1: Senate Bill 1
- SBt: San Benito County
- SCL: Santa Clara County
- SR: State Route
- SHOPP: Statewide Highway Operation and Protection Program
- STIP: Statewide Transportation and Improvement Program
Staff Report

To: Council of San Benito County Governments
From: Regina Valentine, Transportation Planner  Phone: (831) 637-7665 x 205
Date: September 17, 2020
Subject: Senate Bill 1 State of Good Repair Program Funds for Transit

Recommendation:

ADOPT Resolution 20-07 Approving Projects for Funding and Authorizing the Executive Director to Apply for and Accept FY 2020-21 California State of Good Repair Program Funds Totaling $93,783.

Summary:

With the signing of Senate Bill 1, many new funding sources were established including the State of Good Repair (SGR) Program for transit operators to fund eligible transit maintenance, rehabilitation and capital projects. Distributed through the use of the State Transit Assistance formulas, COG, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA), and the Local Transportation Authority (LTA), as the transit operator, are eligible to receive a total of $93,783 for FY 2020-21. Allocation requests were due to Caltrans September 1, 2020 with draft resolutions included in the submittal.

Financial Impact:

COG and LTA are eligible to receive a total of $93,783 of FY 2020-21 SGR Program funds for eligible transit projects. There is no local match required.

Background:

With the signing of Senate Bill 1, many new funding sources were established including the SGR Program for transit operators to fund eligible transit maintenance, rehabilitation and capital projects. Funds are distributed using the State Transit Assistance formulas, so both COG, as the RTPA, and LTA, as the transit operator, receive allocations in this program. For this reason, Caltrans is requiring both COG and LTA to submit Board resolutions approving the project list.

Staff Analysis

After reviewing LTA’s adopted Short Range/Long Range Transit Plan and 2018 Transit Asset Management Plan, staff has prepared a list of eligible SGR Program projects detailed in Exhibit A of Resolution 20-07. At this time, staff recommends the COG Board approve the list of eligible projects for the allocation request that was due September 1, 2020. Additionally, staff recommends the Board authorize the Executive Director to apply for and accept the SGR Program funds for the list of projects when distributed by Caltrans.
Staff recommends that the Board of Directors adopt Resolutions 20-07 to be eligible to receive a total of $93,783 for FY 2020-21 SGR Program funds.

Executive Director Review:   MG       Counsel Review:    Yes

Attachment: Resolution 20-07 FY 2020-21 SGR Project List
BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS APPROVING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO APPLY FOR AND ACCEPT FY 2020-21 CALIFORNIA STATE OF GOOD REPAIR (SGR) PROGRAM FUNDS TOTALING $93,783 Resolution No. COG 20-07

WHEREAS, the Council of San Benito County Governments (COG) is the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for San Benito County, pursuant to Government Code section 29532(b); and

WHEREAS, the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority (LTA) is the designated Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) for San Benito County, pursuant to Government Code section 15975; and

WHEREAS, as the designated RTPA for San Benito County, COG is an eligible project sponsor and may receive State Transit Assistance funding from the State of Good Repair Account (SGR) for transit projects calculated pursuant to the distribution formulas in Public Utilities Code (PUC) section 99313 based on the certification of population from the California Department of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, as the designated CTSA for San Benito County, LTA is an eligible project sponsor and may receive State Transit Assistance funding from the SGR Account for transit projects calculated pursuant to the distribution formulas in PUC section 99314 based on the qualifying revenue amounts for each STA-eligible operator determined from annual reports submitted to the State Controller pursuant to PUC section 99243; and

WHEREAS, COG and LTA have identified a list of transit projects in San Benito County, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A, to be funded through the FY 2020-21 SGR Account; and

WHEREAS, the transit projects to be submitted for FY 2020-21 SGR funding total $93,783, to be allocated to COG and LTA pursuant to the distribution formulas in PUC sections 99313 and 99314; and

WHEREAS, COG’s portion of the FY 2020-21 SGR allocation is $92,219, as determined pursuant to the distribution formula in PUC section 99313; and

WHEREAS, LTA’s portion of the FY 2020-21 SGR allocation is $1,564, as determined pursuant to the distribution formula in PUC section 99314; and

Council of San Benito County Governments • Measure A Authority
Airport Land Use Commission • Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways

330 Tres Pinos Road, Suite C7 • Hollister, CA 95023 • Phone: 831-637-7665 • Fax: 831-636-4160
www.SanBenitoCOG.org
WHEREAS, upon receipt of SGR funds for the projects identified in Exhibit A, COG desires to allocate its share of those funds to LTA, to administer the projects as the CTSA for San Benito County, for a total combined allocation of $93,783.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council of San Benito County Governments hereby designates the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority to be the lead agency for the SGR funded transit projects identified in Exhibit A, to be funded in part through COG’s SGR allocations; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Council of San Benito County Governments hereby authorizes the Executive Director of the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority to execute all documents necessary for the application submittal and acceptance of SGR funds awarded by Caltrans for transit projects in San Benito County, for and on behalf of the Council of Governments.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS THIS 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2020 BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAINING:
ABSENT:

ATTEST:
Mary Gilbert, Executive Director

By: __________________________

Dated: ______________________

Ignacio Velazquez, Chair

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
San Benito County Counsel’s Office

By: __________________________

Shirley L. Murphy, Deputy County Counsel
Dated: Sept. 8, 2020
### Exhibit A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>FY 2020-21 SGR Estimated Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Stock, Replacement, Two Vehicles</td>
<td>$93,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$93,783</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff Report

To: Council of Governments
From: Veronica Lezama, Transportation Planner    Telephone: (831) 637-7665
Date: September 17, 2020
Subject: Amendment No. 1 to Fiscal Year 2020/21 Overall Work Program

Recommendation:

a. APPROVE Amendment No. 1 to the Fiscal Year 2020/2021 Overall Work Program to Include New Work Element 306 for the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority Short Range Transit Plan Update; and

b. AUTHORIZE the COG Executive Director to Sign an Amended FY 2020/2021 Overall Work Program Agreement with the California Department of Transportation.

Summary:

Newly awarded funding for planning purposes must be identified in COG’s Overall Work Program. The Council of Governments on behalf of the San Benito Local Transportation Authority received a planning grant for the preparation of a Short-Range Transit Plan update. An amendment to the current Overall Work Program (FY 2020/21) is required in order to receive the planning funds.

Financial Impact:

COG was awarded a California Department of Transportation Sustainable Transportation Planning grant in the amount of $100,000. Funds will be amended into the FY 2020/21 budget once Caltrans has issued the Notice to Proceed. As part of the grant, COG identified an in-kind match of staff time in the amount of $12,956. The total budget for the Short-Range Transit Plan is $112,956.

Background:

As the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency, the Council of Governments prepares an annual Overall Work Program that identified the planning work that the agency will perform during each fiscal year. The document may be amended if changes occur during the current fiscal year to reflect priorities, funding changes, and staffing modifications.

Staff Analysis

Amendment No. 1 to the FY 2020/2021 Overall Work Program consists of two actions requiring Board approval.
The first action consists of the Council of Governments (COG) approving to amend its adopted FY 2020/2021 Overall Work Program to include the Short-Range Transit Plan update under a new Work Element (Attachment 1). The Short-Range Transit Plan will include recommendations that are operationally and financially sustainable regarding: program goals and objectives, service enhancement or reduction possibilities, capital improvement planning, management structure and partnership opportunities, marketing, and related policy issues. The plan will address internal and external factors influencing the use of public transit, including fares, schedules, route design, passenger amenities, etc. The plan will include a short-range element (within 5-year window) and a longer 10-year element setting a vision for improved transit services for the future. Work on the Short-Range Transit Plan will begin in the fall of 2020.

The second action, for the Board’s consideration, is authorizing the COG Executive Director to sign an amended FY 2020/2021 Overall Work Program Agreement with the California Department of Transportation (Attachment 2).

Executive Director Review: ____________ Counsel Review: __Yes__

Supporting Attachments:

1. OWP Work Element No. 306
2. Overall Work Program Agreement
Work Element 306: Short Range Transit Plan
Lead Agency: Local Transportation Authority
Project Manager: Regina Valentine, Transportation Planner

Objectives
The Short-Range Transit Plan will include recommendations that are operationally and financially sustainable regarding: program goals and objectives, service enhancement or reduction possibilities, capital improvement planning, management structure and partnership opportunities, marketing and related policy issues. The plan will address internal and external factors influencing the use of public transit, including fares, schedules, route design, passenger amenities, etc. The plan will include a short-range element (within 5-year window) and a longer 10-year element setting a vision for improved transit services for the future.

Previous and Ongoing Work
This project will build upon planning efforts in the region such as LTA’s 2016 Short Range/Long Range Transit Plan and Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments’ (AMBAG) Rural Transit Improvement Initiative studying the unique needs of rural transit. It is consistent with the adopted 2040 San Benito Regional Transportation Plan and 2040 AMBAG Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy and associated Implementation Project Place Types Matrix, as it supports enhancements for high quality transit encouraging transit-oriented development. It is also consistent with the goals of the City of Hollister’s (the County Seat) 2005 General Plan Circulation Element, a portion of the General Plan which supports the Land Use Element. This coordination between land use and transportation (including complete streets components) allows for more sustainable communities both today and into the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Element 306 Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit Administration, 5304 - Sustainable Communities</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Development Act (TDA)</td>
<td>$12,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$112,956</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Kick-Off Meeting with Caltrans</td>
<td>• Meeting Notes</td>
<td>September 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Develop and Release Request for Proposals for Consultant to prepare the SRTP Update</td>
<td>• Request for Proposals</td>
<td>October 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.3</strong></td>
<td>Review Request for Proposals responses and interview respondents</td>
<td>• Consultant Interview Score Sheets</td>
<td>December 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.4</strong></td>
<td>Execute Contract with successful proposer</td>
<td>• Consultant Contract</td>
<td>January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.1</strong></td>
<td>Update SRTP Goals, Objectives, and Standards</td>
<td>• Goals, Objectives, and Standards Recommendations Report</td>
<td>April 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.2</strong></td>
<td>Identification of issues to be addressed in the SRTP Update after reviewing previous documents and conducting a decision makers’ survey</td>
<td>• Decision Makers’ Survey • Project Public Participation Plan • Identified Issues Report</td>
<td>July 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.3</strong></td>
<td>Evaluation of existing service and ridership analyzing travel demands and demographics, completing passenger counts, doing peer comparisons, and conducting a bilingual (English and Spanish) onboard rider survey</td>
<td>• Bilingual (English and Spanish) Onboard Rider Survey • Existing Services and Recommended Implementation Plans Report</td>
<td>November 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.4</strong></td>
<td>Prepare peer comparisons and an evaluation of existing service costs, efficiency and effectiveness, and comparison with adopted performance standards providing future recommendations</td>
<td>• Performance Indicators Report and Template</td>
<td>January 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.5</strong></td>
<td>Development of a 10-year Capital Improvement Plan that includes schedules, cost estimates, and funding sources for replacement, rehabilitation, expansion and introduction of new technologies</td>
<td>• Capital Asset Plan Report</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.6</strong></td>
<td>Recommendations as to types of media and messages to market services and improvements to rider information services, including recommendations</td>
<td>• Marketing and Communications Report</td>
<td>April 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.7</strong> Identify and recommend an annual financing plan for operations and capital improvements based on current and expected funding sources for a minimum of 10 years in a constrained, partially constrained, and unconstrained financial environment</td>
<td>• Financial Plan Report</td>
<td>July 2022</td>
<td>COG/LTA and Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.8</strong> Review organizational and staffing framework comparing to other organizations in peer-level transit systems and make recommendations</td>
<td>• Management and Organizational Framework Report</td>
<td>August 2022</td>
<td>COG/LTA and Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.9</strong> Evaluation of LTA’s current contracts with MV Transportation Inc. and Jovenes de Antaño for industry standards and conformance with Federal and State laws, and make recommendations</td>
<td>• Third-Party Contract Review Report</td>
<td>October 2022</td>
<td>COG/LTA and Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.10</strong> Meetings and public participation includes: project kick-off meeting; decision maker survey; at least one bilingual (English and Spanish) onboard rider survey; one bilingual (English and Spanish) non-user survey; two (2) bilingual (English and Spanish) public participation meetings; one bilingual (English and Spanish) survey to gauge the effectiveness of the events; draft plan presentation to stakeholder groups, advisory committees, and LTA Board; and final plan presentation to the LTA Board.</td>
<td>• Kick-Off Meeting Agenda and Minutes • Decision Makers’ Survey • Bilingual (English and Spanish) Onboard Rider Survey • Bilingual (English and Spanish) Non-User Survey • Bilingual (English and Spanish) Public Meeting Agendas and Minutes • Bilingual (English and Spanish) Outreach Effectiveness Survey • Stakeholder and Advisory Committee</td>
<td>March 2023</td>
<td>COG/LTA and Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting Agendas and Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Draft Plan and Staff Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2.11 | Assembly of a Final Plan document with executive summary and all task reports |   |
|      | • Executive Summary | March 2023 |
|      | • Final Plan |   |
|      |   | COG/LTA and Consultant |

| 2.12 | Printing and publishing Draft and Final Plans on COG/LTA websites |   |
|      | • Digital and Hard Copies of Draft and Final Plan | April 2023 |
|      | • Website Postings |   |
|      |   | COG/LTA |

| 2.13 | Quarterly Reports and invoicing to Caltrans |   |
|      | • Quarterly Reports and Invoices | Quarterly until June 2023 |
|      |   | COG/LTA |
OVERALL WORK PROGRAM AGREEMENT (OWPA) FOR
San Benito COG

If this is an amendment, please identify the amendment number in the space provided: Amendment # ___1____

1. The undersigned signatory Council of San Benito County Governments hereby commits to complete, this fiscal year (FY) (beginning July 1, 2020 and ending June 30, 2021), the annual Overall Work Program (OWP), a copy of which was approved on May 21, 2020 and is attached as part of this OWPA.

2. All of the obligations, duties, terms and conditions set forth in the Master Fund Transfer Agreements (MFTA), numbered 74A0801, and executed with effective dates of January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2024 between the MPO and the Department of Transportation (STATE), are incorporated herein by this reference as part of this OWPA for this FY.

3. This OWP Agreement obligates and encumbers only these following funding sources: State Highway Account – Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) funds, State Highway Account (SHA) - Sustainable Communities, Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) SB1 SC State funds, Public Transportation Account (PTA) State funds, Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5304 Transit Planning/Sustainable Communities*, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) State Planning and Research (SP&R) – Partnership Planning/Strategic Partnerships*. RTPA agrees to comply with FHWA and FTA matching requirements for “Consolidated Planning Grant”, SHA SC, RMRA, and ATP funds obligated and encumbered against this OWP Agreement: SHA SC, SB1 SC, SB1 Adaptation, state/local 88.53/11/47; FTA Section 5304, federal/local – 88.53/11.47; and FHWA – SP&R Part, federal/local – 80/20. All local match funds are to be provided from non-federal sources when using federal funds.

4. Subject to the availability of funds in this FY OWPA funds encumbered by STATE include, but may not exceed, the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Match %</th>
<th>Fed/State Programmed Amount</th>
<th>Local Match</th>
<th>Total Estimated Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPA</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$294,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$294,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPA Grant</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA Sustainable Communities</td>
<td>11.47%</td>
<td>$192,000.00</td>
<td>$48,000.00</td>
<td>$240,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1 RMRA: SR 156 Multimodal Enhancement Study</td>
<td>11.47%</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$12,956.00</td>
<td>$112,956.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation Funds</td>
<td>11.47%</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5304 Funds*</td>
<td>11.47%</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$12,956.00</td>
<td>$112,956.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA SPR Funds*</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Programmed Amount $586,000.00 $60,956.00 $646,956.00

5. Should RTPA expend funds in excess of those available and programmed in this FY OWPA, those costs shall be borne solely by RTPA.

Caltrans District #
Department of Transportation (STATE) ____________________________
Authorized Signature ____________________________
Printed Name of Caltrans District ____________________________
Title ____________________________
Date ____________________________

(For Use by Caltrans Accounting Only)

The total amount of all FEDERAL funds encumbered by this document are $
Fund Title: ____________________________
Item ____________________________
Project ID# ____________________________
Encumbrance Document Number ____________________________

The total amount of all STATE funds encumbered by this document are $
Fund Title: ____________________________
Item ____________________________
Project ID# ____________________________
Encumbrance Document Number ____________________________

I hereby certify upon my own personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for the period and expenditure purpose stated above.

Signature of Department of Transportation Accounting Officer ____________________________
Date ____________________________

*CFDA for Federal Funds 20.505 Metropolitan Transportation Planning and State and Non-Metropolitan Planning and Research
Staff Report

To: Council of San Benito County Governments
From: Mary Gilbert, Executive Director Phone Number: (831) 637-7665 x.207
Date: September 17, 2020
Subject: Highway 25 Widening Project

Recommendation

a. RECEIVE Presentation on the Highway 25 Widening Project Development Planning, and
b. APPROVE Project Area Priorities, Identified in the Highway 25 Widening Alternatives and Project Phasing Report
c. DIRECT STAFF to Pursue Necessary Agreements and Initiate Project Approval and Environmental Documents Phase of the Project

Background

C O G is coordinating with Caltrans and local partners to develop a strategy for delivering the Highway 25 4-Lane Widening Project. In 2016, Caltrans approved an Environmental Impact Report for the location of the new expressway to replace the 2-lane Highway 25.

The current proposal is for a four-lane divided expressway or freeway with limited access and intersection upgrades to improve traffic operations and safety.

In March 2020, Caltrans and C O G convened a working group to conduct a Value Analysis of the project and to find solutions to enhance project delivery and reduce costs.

Financial Analysis

The Highway 25 widening is currently designed as a new four-lane highway with access control, and is estimated to cost $342 million. The project cost exceeds projected revenues from Measure G and other available funding sources. The Value Analysis process identified approaches to project design and construction that may reduce the project costs.

Project Financing

C O G is financing the first project development stage using a combination of local Measure G funds and State matching funds. C O G is eligible for Local Partnership Program incentive funding totaling $5 million from Senate Bill 1. C O G must match the incentive funds with Measure G revenues. These funds will support the environmental and design phases of the project.

Staff has prepared a funding plan to incorporate federal, state, and local funding opportunities.

Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program
Traffic Impact Mitigation Fees will also fund a portion of the Highway 25 project. Under this program, new development (housing, commercial and industrial) pays fees to obtain a permit to build. The fees are established to offset the impacts of new construction on the roadway network.

This program has collected funds since the 1990s and to date, over $27 million in traffic impact fees have funded important regional transportation projects, including:

- Highway 25 Bypass Construction
- Highway 156 Project Construction (2021 Construction Year)
- Highway 156 Bypass,
- San Benito Street Extension
- Union Road Construction - San Benito Street to Airline Highway
- Westside Boulevard
- Traffic Signalization and Channelization at San Benito Street/Union Road,
- Traffic Signalization and Channelization at Southside Road/Union Road, and
- Traffic Signalization and Channelization at Sunnyslope Road/Fairview Road.

The existing fee program is expected to raise $240 Million for identified regional projects through 2040. Highway 25 improvements were returned to the Traffic Impact Fee project list in 2016 after the project was removed for one funding cycle. The program identifies $80 million in Impact Fees for the project.

Summary

In early 2020, COG partnered with Caltrans on the preparation of a Value Analysis study of the project. Caltrans owns and operates Highway 25 as part of the state highway system. COG and Caltrans share funding responsibility for the project. The Value Analysis is a method for enhancing a project’s value by improving the relationship of performance to cost through the study of function. The Final Value Analysis Report documents the evaluation approach and presents six alternatives that the team identified for future study and implementation.

Staff Analysis:

Staff took part in the Value Analysis as part of the team and as a decision-maker. The final report is consistent with COG’s adopted goals for the project. Some alternatives will be further evaluated during the environmental phase. The Value Analysis addressed the items listed below:

- Project Budget: The current Route Adoption design concept cost is more than the Measure G funding for the project. COG is seeking a project approach that will maximize community benefits within the projected funding and schedule goals.

- Potential Environmental Impacts: Project designers will need to be mindful of the potential environmental impacts that working in and around the river, creek, and wetlands may imply. The project will need to either avoid or mitigate impacts appropriately through design and during construction.

- Property Acquisitions: The Route Adoption concept requires agricultural land as well as other business and residential property for the new alignment. The concerns extend to the need for utility relocations, temporary construction easements, and railroad involvement.
Construction Staging: The current Route Adoption design concept will be challenging to construct while accommodating SR-25 and SR-156 traffic volumes. Although the new alignment of the Route Adoption will make this easier, the construction will still impact several locations, and the construction will require extensive traffic control and will interrupt traffic operations.

COG’s work plan for the project development includes the following tasks:

- Ongoing public outreach and improving communication on the project. Public engagement is an integral part of every stage of project development. The new HWY25.org website will include project information, updates, schedule, feedback, and contact information.
- Refining project funding strategy to maximize federal, state, and local funding opportunities
- Ongoing coordination with Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority and the Mobility Partnership for the project area in Santa Clara County.
- Coordinating with San Benito County to determine feasibility of the proposal for Caltrans to relinquish ownership and transfer control of the Highway to San Benito County, to operate and maintain as a San Benito County road.
- Entering into an agreement with Caltrans to start the project environmental study. Staff is seeking approval from the COG Board to develop a cooperative agreement for the project environmental review.

COG held a special meeting on August 16, 2020 to present the Value Analysis report and discuss the project work plan. Based on direction from the COG Board, staff developed options for project phasing which will be presented at the September 17th meeting.

The phasing options were presented to COG’s Technical Advisory Committee on September 2, 2020. The Committee reviewed six alternatives which are outlined in Attachment 1. The committee recommended that the COG Board move ahead with the environmental review process that would place the SR 25/156 intersection as the highest priority and to move forward with phasing proposed in alternative 1 and keep the option of relinquishment as identified in alternative 6.

Staff concurs with the Committee’s recommendation and is recommending that the Board take action to support the project phasing and move forward with environmental review as recommended.
Baseline Project
Construct 4- Lane Expressway on New Alignment
$342 Million

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others

Key
1. Improvements by Others
2. SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption (RA))

Baseline = Route Adoption (RA), San Felipe to Bloomfield

Capital Cost: $342M (2020 Dollars)
Duration: 10.5 yrs
Baseline Project: Potential Phasing

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others

Key
1 - Improvements by Others
2 - SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption (RA))

Potential Phasing (Baseline) = Approximate Cost Per Area

Council of San Benito County Governments
September 17, 2020
Page 3
Alternative 1: New 4- Lane Facility: Hollister to Shore Road
Split Alignment, North Segment
$241 Million

Key
① - Improvements by Others
② - SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption (RA))
③ - SR 25 (2 New Lanes Northbound (RA), 2 Existing Lanes Southbound)

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others
- Proposed Improvements along Existing SR25

Alt 1) South Segment: RA
North Segment: Split Alignment
Alternative 1
Proposed Project Phasing

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others
- Proposed Improvements along Existing SR25

Potential Phasing (Alt 1) = Approximate Cost Per Area

Key
1. - Improvements by Others
2. - SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption (RA))
3. - SR 25 (2 New Lanes Northbound (RA), 2 Existing Lanes Southbound)

Council of San Benito County Governments
September 17, 2020
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Alternative 6: Construct 4-Lane County Roadway on Existing Alignment
$126 Million
RECOMMENDED FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION IF NECESSARY

Key
1 - Improvements by Others
2 - SR 25 (4-Lane County Roadway along Existing Alignment)
3 - No Improvements

Alt 6) South Segment: Relinquishment. Widen existing SR25 to 4-lane County Roadway
North Segment (ends at County Line): Relinquishment. Widen existing SR25 to 4-lane County Roadway

Cost: $126M
(2020 Dollars)
Duration: 9.0 yrs

Highway 25 Widening Alternatives and Project Phasing
Council of San Benito County Governments
September 17, 2020
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Alternative 2: Interchange and North Segment with Route Adoption Alignment

$276 Million

NOT RECOMMENDED

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others

Key
① Improvements by Others
② SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption)
③ No Improvements

Cost: $276M
(2020 Dollars)
Duration: 10.5 yrs

Alt 2) South Segment: Interchange Only (with connection to North Segment)
North Segment: RA
Alternative 3: Interchange and South Segment with Route Adoption Alignment
$116 Million

NOT RECOMMENDED

North Segment

South Segment

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others
- Proposed Improvements along Existing SR25

Key
1 - Improvements by Others
2 - SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway along Existing Alignment)
3 - No Improvements

Alt 3) South Segment: Widen existing SR25 to 4-Lane Expwy Stds
North Segment: (No Improvements)

Cost: $116M
(2020 Dollars)
Duration: 9.5 yrs
Alternative 2: South Segment with Route Adoption Alignment

$106 Million

NOT RECOMMENDED

Key
① - Improvements by Others
② - SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption (RA))
③ - No Improvements

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others
- Proposed Improvements along Existing SR25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alt 4) South Segment: RA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: (No Improvements)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost: $106M (2020 Dollars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 9.25 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highway 25 Widening Alternatives and Project Phasing

Council of San Benito County Governments
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Alternative 5: Interchange Only
$40 Million

NOT RECOMMENDED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Improvements by Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SR 25/SR 156 Interchange Constructed Per RA Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No Improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alt 5</th>
<th>South Segment: Interchange Only</th>
<th>North Segment: (No Improvements)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$40M (2020 Dollars)</td>
<td>Duration: 8 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alternative 1:
Showing Only Improvements to County Line
$171 Million

Key
① - Improvements by Others
② - SR 25 (4-Lane Expressway, Route Adoption (RA))
③ - SR 25 (2 New Lanes Northbound (RA), 2 Existing Lanes Southbound)

Legend
- Proposed Caltrans Adopted Route
- Proposed Bridge
- Proposed Improvements to be Funded by Others
- Proposed Improvements along Existing SR25

Cost: $171M (2020 Dollars)
Duration: 10.5 yrs

Alt 1 (Revised to show Cost to Reach County Line) South Segment: RA
North Segment: Split Alignment

Highway 25 Widening Alternatives and Project Phasing
## Order of Magnitude - Project Cost & Schedule

(Costs Included: Construction and R/W Acquisition. *Does not include additional 30% soft costs*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALTERNATIVE (ALL ALTS INCL. NEW INTERCHANGE AT SR25/SR156)</th>
<th>COST ($M)</th>
<th>DURATION (YRS.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline= Route Adoption (RA), San Felipe to Bloomfield</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1)</strong> South Segment: RA, San Felipe to Hudner.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: Split Alignment, Hudner to Bloomfield</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 new lanes northbound, existing 2 lanes southbound)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2)</strong> South Segment: Interchange Only (San Felipe to Interchange remains as 2-lane existing SR25)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: RA, Hudner to Bloomfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3)</strong> South Segment: Widen existing SR25 to 4-Lane Expwy Stds, San Felipe to Hudner.</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: No Improvements, Hudner to Bloomfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4)</strong> South Segment: RA, San Felipe to Hudner.</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: No Improvements, Hudner to Bloomfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5)</strong> South Segment: Interchange Only (San Felipe to Interchange remains as 2-lane existing SR25)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: No Improvements, Hudner to Bloomfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6)</strong> South Segment: State Relinquishes SR25 to County. Widen existing SR25 to 4-lane County Roadway, San Felipe to Hudner.</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Segment: State Relinquishes SR25 to County. Widen existing SR25 to 4-lane County Roadway, Hudner to County Line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>